Profile

|	
Subunit:	Fakultaet_04_BWL
Name of the instructor:	Prof. Dr. Manfred Schwaiger
Name of the course: (Name of the survey)	Convincing Stakeholders

Values used in the profile line: Mean

The lecture...

Question	Scale	n	av.	md	dev.
...is comprehensively structured.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
...is well organized.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
...uses examples to illustrate models and theories.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,6
...links theory and practice.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=55	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
...critically examines definitions, assumptions and arguments.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=56	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
...is presented in an inspiring manner.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
...needlessly duplicates the content of other courses.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=3,4	md=3,0	dev.=1,1
...imparts a lot of knowledge.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
...is supported by assistance beyond classroom.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=54	av.=2,5	md=3,0	dev.=0,9
...needs more time and effort than other courses.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=55	av.=2,7	md=3,0	dev.=1,0
...includes well lead discussions.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=54	av.=2,4	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
...allows to add own contributions.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
...is affected by disturbance or chatting of participants.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=3,8	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
...stimulates my interest in my field of study.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=56	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
...has been profitable for me.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=54	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
...motivates private studies on the subject.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=2,4	md=2,0	dev.=1,1
...clearly shows the relevance/usefulness of topics discussed.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,8

The lecturer...

Question	Scale	n	av.	md	dev.
...regularly summarizes the content.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=56	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
...seems to be well prepared.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=55	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
...speaks clearly and inspiringly.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
...cares about the learning success of participants.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=56	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
...motivates participants.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=56	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
...is friendly to and approachable for students.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=57	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
...gives helpful feedback to contributions.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=56	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,9

| ...stimulates questions and active participation in class. | fully agree | | fully disagree | n=56 | av.=1,7 | md=1,5 | dev.=0,8 |

Questions on the level of the lecture

I prepare classes or perform follow-up course work.	little		much	n=56	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,9
My previous knowledge is…	too low		too high	n=57	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,4
The quantity of the teaching contents is…	too little		too much	n=56	av.=3,7	md=4,0	dev.=0,7
The complexity of the teaching contents is…	too easy		too difficult	n=55	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,3
The requirements of the class are…	too low		too high	n=57	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,4
The pace of the class is...	too slow		too fast	n=57	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,5
Overall I would grade the lecture	excellent		inadequate	n=57	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=0,7