# Profile

Subunit: **Fakultaet_04_BWL**
Name of the instructor: **Prof. Dr. Manfred Schwaiger**
Name of the course: **ETD Management Communications Gruppe A**
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The lecture...

1.1) ...is comprehensively structured.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=15	av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
1.2) ...is well organized.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,3 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
1.3) ...uses examples to illustrate models and theories.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,2 md=1,0 dev.=0,4
1.4) ...links theory and practice.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
1.5) ...critically examines definitions, assumptions and arguments.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5
1.6) ...is presented in an inspiring manner.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,7
1.7) ...needlessly duplicates the content of other courses.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=18	av.=2,8 md=2,5 dev.=1,4
1.8) ...imparts a lot of knowledge.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,9 md=2,0 dev.=0,8
1.9) ...is supported by assistance beyond classroom.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=16	av.=2,6 md=3,0 dev.=1,2
1.10) ...needs more time and effort than other courses.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=2,9 md=3,0 dev.=1,1
1.11) ...includes well lead discussions.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,8 md=2,0 dev.=0,8
1.12) ...allows to add own contributions.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,8 md=2,0 dev.=0,8
1.13) ...is affected by disturbance or chatting of participants.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=4,1 md=4,0 dev.=1,2
1.14) ...stimulates my interest in my field of study.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,9 md=2,0 dev.=0,8
1.15) ...has been profitable for me.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,5 md=2,0 dev.=0,5
1.16) ...motivates private studies on the subject.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=2,1 md=2,0 dev.=0,9
1.17) ...clearly shows the relevance/usefulness of topics discussed.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,5 md=2,0 dev.=0,5

2. The lecturer...

2.1) ...regularly summarizes the content.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,8 md=2,0 dev.=0,9
2.2) ...seems to be well prepared.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,2 md=1,0 dev.=0,4
2.3) ...speaks clearly and inspiringly.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,1 md=1,0 dev.=0,3
2.4) ...cares about the learning success of participants.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,8 md=2,0 dev.=0,8
2.5) ...motivates participants.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,5 md=2,0 dev.=0,5
2.6) ...is friendly to and approachable for students.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,2 md=1,0 dev.=0,4
2.7) ...gives helpful feedback to contributions.	fully agree — fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,4 md=1,0 dev.=0,5

| 2.8) | ...stimulates questions and active participation in class. | fully agree | | fully disagree | n=17 | av.=1,4 | md=1,0 | dev.=0,5 |

3. Questions on the level of the lecture

3.1)	I prepare classes or perform follow-up course work.	little		much	n=17	av.=2,9	md=3,0	dev.=0,6
3.2)	My previous knowledge is…	too low		too high	n=16	av.=2,9	md=3,0	dev.=0,7
3.4)	The quantity of the teaching contents is…	too little		too much	n=17	av.=3,3	md=3,0	dev.=0,6
3.5)	The complexity of the teaching contents is…	too easy		too difficult	n=17	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,5
3.6)	The requirements of the class are…	too low		too high	n=18	av.=3,0	md=3,0	dev.=0,5
3.7)	The pace of the class is...	too slow		too fast	n=17	av.=2,9	md=3,0	dev.=0,2
3.8)	Overall I would grade the lecture	excellent		inadequate	n=15	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,5