Profile

Subunit: Fakultaet_04_BWL
Name of the instructor: Prof. Dr. Manfred Schwaiger
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) ETD Management Communications Gruppe B

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. The lecture...



1.1)	...is comprehensively structured.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,9	md=1,5	dev.=1,2
1.2)	...is well organized.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,2
1.3)	...uses examples to illustrate models and theories.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
1.4)	...links theory and practice.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=15	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
1.5)	...critically examines definitions, assumptions and arguments.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
1.6)	...is presented in an inspiring manner.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,9	md=1,0	dev.=1,3
1.7)	...needlessly duplicates the content of other courses.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=2,2	md=2,0	dev.=1,4
1.8)	...imparts a lot of knowledge.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
1.9)	...is supported by assistance beyond classroom.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,1
1.10)	...needs more time and effort than other courses.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=2,6	md=3,0	dev.=1,3
1.11)	...includes well lead discussions.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,8	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
1.12)	...allows to add own contributions.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
1.13)	...is affected by disturbance or chatting of participants.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=3,2	md=4,0	dev.=1,7
1.14)	...stimulates my interest in my field of study.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,1
1.15)	...has been profitable for me.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=0,9
1.16)	...motivates private studies on the subject.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=2,4	md=2,0	dev.=1,5
1.17)	...clearly shows the relevance/usefulness of topics discussed.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=1,0

2. The lecturer...



2.1)	...regularly summarizes the content.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,2
2.2)	...seems to be well prepared.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
2.3)	...speaks clearly and inspiringly.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
2.4)	...cares about the learning success of participants.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=1,0
2.5)	...motivates participants.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=17	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
2.6)	...is friendly to and approachable for students.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=15	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=1,1
2.7)	...gives helpful feedback to contributions.	fully agree	fully disagree	n=16	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=1,1

2.8)	...stimulates questions and active participation in class.	fully agree				fully disagree	n=17 av.=1,5 md=1,0 dev.=0,7

3. Questions on the level of the lecture

3.1)	I prepare classes or perform follow-up course work.	little				much	n=17 av.=2,5 md=3,0 dev.=1,0
3.2)	My previous knowledge is…	too low				too high	n=16 av.=2,5 md=3,0 dev.=0,8
3.4)	The quantity of the teaching contents is…	too little				too much	n=17 av.=3,5 md=3,0 dev.=0,6
3.5)	The complexity of the teaching contents is…	too easy				too difficult	n=16 av.=3,2 md=3,0 dev.=0,4
3.6)	The requirements of the class are…	too low				too high	n=15 av.=3,1 md=3,0 dev.=0,4
3.7)	The pace of the class is...	too slow				too fast	n=16 av.=3,1 md=3,0 dev.=0,6
3.8)	Overall I would grade the lecture	excellent				inadequate	n=17 av.=1,6 md=2,0 dev.=0,6