Profile

Subunit: Fakultaet_04_BWL
Name of the instructor: Univ.Prof.Dr. Marko Sarstedt
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Methods in Management

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Technical aspects and framework of the course

1.2)	The technical implementation of the event was successful.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=4,1	md=4,0	dev.=0,9
1.3)	The delivery format of the course was appropriate.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,8	md=4,0	dev.=1,2

2. Satisfaction and recommendation

2.1)	How do you rate this course overall?	poor	very good	n=22	av.=3,3	md=3,0	dev.=1,0
2.2)	I would recommend this course to others.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,2	md=3,0	dev.=1,0
2.3)	Compared to other courses you have attended this course is...	much worse	much better	n=22	av.=3,2	md=3,0	dev.=1,0

3. The lecture...

3.1)	...is comprehensively structured.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,9	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
3.2)	...is well organized.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,8	md=4,0	dev.=1,3
3.3)	...uses examples to illustrate models and theories.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,9	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
3.4)	...clearly shows the relevance/usefulness of topics discussed.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,5	md=3,5	dev.=0,9
3.5)	...links theory and practice.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,7	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
3.6)	...is presented in an inspiring manner.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,3	md=3,5	dev.=1,4
3.7)	...avoids duplication of the content of other courses.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,4	md=4,0	dev.=1,2
3.8)	...imparts a lot of knowledge.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=21	av.=3,6	md=4,0	dev.=1,1
3.9)	...is supported by assistance beyond classroom.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,3	md=3,5	dev.=1,1
3.10)	...requires a reasonable amount of effort.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,6	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
3.11)	...is underlined by well-led discussions as far as technically feasible.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,0	md=3,0	dev.=1,2
3.12)	…allows contributions to be made.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,6	md=4,0	dev.=1,1
3.13)	...isn't affected by disturbance or chatting of participants.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=21	av.=3,9	md=4,0	dev.=1,3
3.14)	...stimulates my interest in my field of study.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=2,7	md=3,0	dev.=1,1
3.15)	...has been profitable for me.	fully disagree	fully agree	n=22	av.=3,0	md=3,0	dev.=1,2

3.16)	...motivates private studies on the subject.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=2,7	md=3,0	dev.=1,3

4. The lecturer...

4.1)	...seems to be well prepared.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=4,4	md=4,5	dev.=0,9
4.2)	...speaks clearly and inspiringly.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=4,3	md=4,0	dev.=0,7
4.3)	...cares about the learning success of participants.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=3,8	md=4,0	dev.=1,1
4.4)	...motivates the participants.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=3,6	md=4,0	dev.=1,2
4.5)	...is friendly when interacting with the students.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=21	av.=4,5	md=5,0	dev.=0,6
4.6)	...gives helpful feedback to contributions as far as technically feasible.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=3,8	md=4,0	dev.=1,0
4.7)	...stimulates questions and active participation as far as technically feasible.	fully disagree		fully agree	n=22	av.=3,7	md=4,0	dev.=1,1

5. Questions on the level of the lecture

5.2)	My previous knowledge is…	too low		too high	n=22	av.=3,1	md=3,0	dev.=0,8
5.3)	The quantity of the teaching contents is...	too little		too much	n=22	av.=3,9	md=4,0	dev.=0,9
5.4)	The complexity of the teaching contents is...	too easy		too difficult	n=22	av.=3,3	md=3,0	dev.=0,6
5.5)	The requirements of the class are...	too low		too high	n=22	av.=3,2	md=3,0	dev.=0,6
5.6)	The pace of the class is...	too slow		too fast	n=22	av.=3,4	md=3,0	dev.=0,6