Qualitative Methods

Georg Reischauer (georg.reischauer@wu.ac.at)

LMU Munich, Master of Business Research, 2 hours

Summer Semester 2024

Contents: The PhD course "Qualitative Methods" provides a birds-eye understanding of planning, implementing, and writing up qualitative research.

Learning objectives:

- 1. Understand and reflect the merits and pitfalls of qualitative research in management studies
- 2. Apply good practices to collect and analyze qualitative data, to write and publish qualitive manuscripts, and to review qualitive manuscripts

Course rooms: see MBR website

Course content and schedule:

Day and time	Agenda
PART I	
Class 1: April 24, 2024 08:30-11:30: Interactive lecture & discussions	Introduction, Research design 1/2, Qualitative data collection 1/2
13:00-15:00: Interactive lecture & discussions	Qualitative data collection 2/2, Publishing qualitative research 1/2
15:00-18:00: Check-in & group work	Group and/or individual Q&A with lecturer; independent group work to prepare group presentations
Class 2: April 25, 2024	
08:30-09:00: Check-in as needed or group work	Group and/or individual Q&A with lecturer; finetuning of group presentation
09:00-10:30: Group presentations	Group presentations (<u>expected arrival no later than 8:55</u>)
PART II	
Class 3: May 13, 2024 08:30-12:00: Interactive lecture & discussions	Research design 2/2, Qualitative data analysis ½
13:00-15:00: Interactive lecture & discussions	Qualitative data analysis 2/2
15:00-18:00: Check-in & group work	Group and/or individual Q&A with lecturer; independent group work to prepare group presentations
Class 4: May 14, 2024	
08:30-09:00: Check-in as needed or group work	Group and/or individual Q&A with lecturer; finetuning of group presentation
09:00-10:30: Group presentations	Group presentations (expected arrival no later than 8:55)
10:45-12:30: Lecture & discussions; course reflection	Publishing qualitative research 2/2, Reviewing qualitative research; Q&A & wrap up

Grading:

Assignment types

You will be assessed based on two types of assignments:

- Review (individual assignment): 60%
- Abridged proposal (team assignment): 20 % (presentation in Part I), 20% (presentation in Part II)

Ad "Review"

- Select a qualitative study recently (= within last 7 years) published in your field of research
- Review the paper <u>focusing on methodological issues and aspects discussed in class</u> do NOT review content (i.e. theories) or grammar/spelling!
- Around 2,500 words, excl. references
- Have cover page with family name, given name, student ID
- Please e-mail your review as single PDF by August 4th 2024 EOD

Ad "Abridged Proposal"

At the end of each part, you will present in teams (of up to 5 students) aspects of a research proposal:

- → Part I presentation: empirical setting, research question(s), data collection plan
- → Part II presentation: data analysis plan, planned form of theorizing; only include VERY BRIEF recap of presentation from part I (max. 1 slide!)

For both parts:

- A presentation should be 6 minutes at maximum (strict!), followed by Q&A of 5 minutes; thus 10 minutes per presentations
- Do NOT focus on content, i.e. theories; sole focus should be as outlined above
- Think especially about why is what you propose (e.g. method, research design, analysis) adequate in your specific setting

Readings & 2DOs PART I

Class 1

Methodological papers suggested to read before this class:

Gephardt, R. (2004). Qualitative Research and the Academy of Management Journal. *Academy of Management Journal*, 7(4), 454-462.

Graebner M. E., Martin J. A., & Roundy, P. T. (2012). Qualitative Data: Cooking without a Recipe, *Strategic Organization*, 10(3), 276–284.

Howard-Grenville, J., Nelson, A., Vough, H., & Zilber, T. B. 2021. Achieving fit and avoiding misfit in qualitative research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 64(5): 1313-1323.

Empirical studies to skim-read **before** class. Read the introduction and methods sections, especially data collection in advance of the session:

Reischauer, G. & Ringel, L. 2023. Unmanaged transparency in a digital society: Swiss army knife or double-edged sword? *Organization Studies*, 44(1): 77-104.

Reischauer, G. & Mair, J. 2018. How Organizations Strategically Govern Online Communities: Lessons from the Sharing Economy. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 4(3): 220-247.

Optional Further Readings Part I

- Cunliffe, A.L. 2011. Crafting Qualitative Research: Morgan and Smircich 30 Years On. *Organizational Research Methods*, 14(4): 647-673.
- Tracy, S. J. 2010. Qualitative Quality: Eight "big-tent" Criteria for Excellent Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, *16*(10), 837–851.
- Locke, K., & Golden-Biddle, K. (1997). Constructing Opportunities for Contribution: Structuring Intertextual Coherence and "Problematizing" in Organizational Studies. *Academy of Management Journal*, 40(5), 1023–1062.
- Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2005). *Qualitative Interviewing: The Art of Hearing Data*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Sharma, G. & Bansal, P. 2020. Cocreating Rigorous and Relevant Knowledge. *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(2): 386-410.
- Spradley, J. P. 1980. Participant Observation. Orlando, FL, Harcourt.
- Yin, R. K. (2014). Case Study Research: Design and Methods. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Readings & 2DOs PART II

Class 3 morning

Methodological papers suggested to read **before this class:**

- Cornelissen, J. 2017. Developing Propositions, a Process Model, or a Typology? Addressing the Challenges of Writing Theory Without a Boilerplate. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(1): 1-9.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. 2007. Theory Building from Cases: Opportunities and Challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25–32.
- Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. 2013. Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research: Notes on the Gioia Methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16(1): 15-31.
- Langley, A. 1999. Strategies for Theorizing from Process Data. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(4): 691-710.

Empirical studies to read **before this class** especially with respect to method section:

- Hannah, D. P. & Eisenhardt, K. M. 2018. How Firms Navigate Cooperation and Competition in Nascent Ecosystems. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(12): 3163-3192.
- Corley, K. G. & Gioia, D. A. 2004. Identity Ambiguity and Change in the Wake of a Corporate Spin-off. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 49(2): 173-208.
- Ansari, S., Garud, R., & Kumaraswamy, A. 2016. The Disruptor's Dilemma: TiVo and the U.S. Television Ecosystem. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(9): 1829-1853.

Class 3 afternoon

Methodological papers suggested to read **before this class:**

- Reay, T., Zafar, A., Monteiro, P., & Glaser, V. 2019. Presenting Findings from Qualitative Research: One Size Does Not Fit All! *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 59: 201-216.
- Grant, A. M. & Pollock, T. G. 2011. Publishing in AMJ Part 3: Setting the Hook. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(5): 873-879.

Empirical studies to read <u>before this class</u> especially with respect to "hook", theorizing, and contributions:

- Heimstädt, M. & Reischauer, G. 2019. Framing Innovation Practices in Interstitial Issue Fields: Open Innovation in the NYC Administration. *Innovation: Organization & Management*, 21(1): 128-150.
- Reischauer, G. & Mair, J. 2018. How Organizations Strategically Govern Online Communities: Lessons from the Sharing Economy. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 4(3): 220-247.
- Wenzel, M., & Koch, J. 2018. Strategy as Staged Performance: A Critical Discursive Perspective on Keynote Speeches as a Genre of Strategic Communication. *Strategic Management Journal*, *39*(3), 639–665.

Class 4

Publishing and reviewing qualitative research

Methodological papers suggested to read **before this class:**

- Pratt, M. G. 2009. For the Lack of a Boilerplate: Tips of Writing (and Reviewing) Qualitative research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 52(5): 856-862.
- Feldman, D. C. 2005. Writing and Reviewing as Sadomasochistic Rituals. *Journal of Management*, 31(3): 325-329.

Optional Further Readings Part II

- Cornelissen, J. P. & Durand, R. 2014. Moving Forward: Developing Theoretical Contributions in Management Studies. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51(6): 995-1022.
- Ertug, G., Gruber, M., Nyberg, A., & Steensma, H. K. 2018. A Brief Primer on Data Visualization Opportunities in Management Research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 61(5): 1613-1625.
- Gioia, D. 2018. Gioia's Rules of the Game. Journal of Management Inquiry, 28(1): 113-115.
- Greenhalgh, T. 2019. Twitter Women's Tips on Academic Writing: A Female Response to Gioia's Rules of the Game. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 28(4): 484-487.
- Grodal, S., Anteby, M., & Holm, A. L. (2021). Achieving Rigor in Qualitative Analysis: The Role of Active Categorization in Theory Building. *Academy of Management Review*, 46(3): 591–612.
- Klag, M. & Langley, A. 2013. Approaching the Conceptual Leap in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(2): 149-166.
- Ployhart, R. E. & Bartunek, J. M. 2019. There Is Nothing So Theoretical as Good Practice: A Call for Phenomenal Theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 44(3): 493-497.
- Shepherd, D. A. & Suddaby, R. 2016. Theory Building: A Review and Integration. *Journal of Management*, 43(1): 59-86.
- Tihanyi, L. (2020). From "that's interesting" to "that's important". *Academy of Management Journal*, 63(2), 329-331.